Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/517,989	WIK, LARS
	Examiner	Art Unit
	CHRISTIAN JANG	3735
All Participants:	Status of Application:	<del>_</del>
(1) <u>CHRISTIAN JANG</u> .	(3)	
(2) Robin Teskin.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 2 December 2010	Time: <u>11am</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 6 and 9  Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:		
Examiner explained that the incorporation of claim 5 into claim 5 processing unit. The examiner proposed an examiner amendmen recent amendment) into claim 6 and put claim 6 into independen found to be allowable. For claim 9, it was explained that the incort he instant claim and proposed an examiner amendment to delet permission for the proposed examiner amendments.	nt to incorporate the subject matte t form as the subject matter recite poration of claim 5 into claim 4 re	r of claim 4 (prior to the most d in claim 6 was previously sulted in redundant language of
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate of directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate of did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summare</li> </ul>	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/C. J./ Examiner, Art Unit 3735	upplicant/Applicant's Representat	iva Signatura i francoscieta)
	филоана гаринови в корискения	те однаше – и арргориате)